

ACTION ON MANY MEASURES.

APPROVAL OF THE BILL TO GIVE NEW YORK ANOTHER SURROGATE.

Voices of the Legislature for the bill to give New York another surrogate, and about the letters of the Insane.

ALBANY, May 17.—Gov. Flower vetoed several bills to-day. One of the most important was the bill to give New York another surrogate, and about the letters of the Insane.

"This bill is full of mandatory provisions. It compels instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It compels the Board of Education in every city of the State (New York and Brooklyn excepted) to provide free instruction in vocal music in the public schools. With its mandatory provisions, it is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

Of the act to prohibit the opening or reading of certain letters, written or received by the inmates of insane hospitals and asylums, by those in charge thereof and providing for the same, I am of opinion that this legislation would interfere with the proper conduct of institutions for the insane, subject many persons to unnecessary annoyance and embarrassment, and accomplish no good purpose which is not already provided for by existing law. Letters of inmates addressed to public officers should now be forwarded unopened by asylum officers, thus securing official action on any complaints of abuse by hospital keepers, attendants, physicians, nurses, or other persons. All letters retained for any cause must be forwarded to the commission in January, when they may be opened and the contents should appear that they were improperly retained. These safeguards would seem to be sufficient to protect the public interest. To permit insane patients to receive letters unopened by the asylum officers, would be to permit the dissemination of rumors, calumnies, and other dangerous articles to the hands of the public, and would be a source of great annoyance to the inmates. I am of opinion that this legislation would interfere with the proper conduct of institutions for the insane, subject many persons to unnecessary annoyance and embarrassment, and accomplish no good purpose which is not already provided for by existing law.

These are the reasons for my veto of the bill to give New York another surrogate, and about the letters of the Insane.

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

It is not in sympathy with the purpose of this bill. Its object is practically to repeal the statute which requires the Board of Education to provide instruction in vocal music in the State normal schools. It is in my judgment, opposed to sound principles of legislation."

IN THE CITY COLLEGE A FAILURE?

An Investigation Ordered by the Trustees After a Warm Debate.

The College of the City of New York as an educational institution is a failure, according to a report of the members of the Board of Education. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge. The trustees of the college, however, deny the charge.

WIDOWERS HER SPECIALTY.

THAT IS, THOSE WITH A BIT OF MONEY.

HER PRESENT HUSBAND SAYS.

They Generally are Glad to Buy Her Of Before the Ceremony—Ackerman Pleased His Widow to Meet Her Demand, and Saver Escaped for \$15—Lies Has No Money, and Isn't Sure He's Married.

Mrs. Jacob Lies has applied to the Superior Court for a divorce from her husband on the ground of abandonment. A motion for alimony and counsel fees was denied yesterday by Judge Mead.

Mrs. Lies is over 50 years of age and her husband is 61. On March 23 a shachan, or marriage broker, named Sam Krammer, introduced the woman to a young man, who was named Lies, who has several grandchildren living, and whose wife died several months ago, refused at first to listen to the proposition, but upon being told that the plaintiff, then named Dora Lierowitz, had \$500 in the bank he asked time to consider it. She showed him two bank books in her own name, and gave him upon the marriage. Being a poor man Lies consented, and on March 31, at the store of Mendel Wilensky, 121 Division street, the marriage was performed by a man named Mendel, who was brought by the woman, and whose right to perform such a ceremony has since been questioned. It was agreed that the marriage should not be binding until the Tuesday following, when the woman was to give Lies her \$500.

Samuel Wilensky of 81 Division street, who was Lies' employer, engaged two rooms, furnished them for the newly married couple, and on the following Monday, April 4, he called on the woman to see her. On the next day she entered Wilensky's store, and she would not give her husband the money, and declared: "If I would give money I could have married a young man. The best thing he can do is to give me \$100 and I will leave him altogether. He is a scoundrel and I don't want him any more. She wants a divorce and expects to be in front of the house carrying the furniture away. He remonstrated, the woman began to weep and shriek, a crowd collected, charges were made, and the woman was taken to the station house. She was released on \$100 bail.

Three disappointed wives and two husbands appeared before Justice Beach and Judge Mead yesterday seeking divorce. Dora Lierowitz, through her father as guardian, Daniel Cohen, asked that her marriage with Louis Rosenzweig be annulled. She was married on March 18, 1930, when she was not 16 years old, and had never lived with her husband. Yesterday was her eighteenth birthday. Rosenzweig was sent to Europe by his parents when they learned of his marriage. His wife is suing her father-in-law for \$10,000 damages for the alienation of her husband's affections.

Frank Walter and his wife Maggie were married in 1922, and lived unhappily together for nearly a year. Frank has now sued for divorce. Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

A New Food Product

Has recently been introduced to housekeepers, and they are taking to it with avidity. It is the

NEW SHORTENING

and frying compound called

Cotolene

It takes the place of lard, butter, and all the other cooking fats. The food prepared with it is light, delicate, wholesome, and nutritious. Physicians testify to its worth as a healthful preparation, and the best-known authorities on cooking, such as "Marion Harland," Mrs. D. A. Lincoln, Mrs. S. T. Rorer, "Catherine Owen," Mrs. Eliza B. Parker, and others, use and recommend it.

Sold by all grocers.

Made only by N. K. FAIRBANK & CO., CHICAGO, ILL., and Produce Exchange, N. Y.

MISMAILED.

Five Unhappy Husbands and Wives Apply to the Courts for Divorce.

Three disappointed wives and two husbands appeared before Justice Beach and Judge Mead yesterday seeking divorce. Dora Lierowitz, through her father as guardian, Daniel Cohen, asked that her marriage with Louis Rosenzweig be annulled. She was married on March 18, 1930, when she was not 16 years old, and had never lived with her husband. Yesterday was her eighteenth birthday. Rosenzweig was sent to Europe by his parents when they learned of his marriage. His wife is suing her father-in-law for \$10,000 damages for the alienation of her husband's affections.

Frank Walter and his wife Maggie were married in 1922, and lived unhappily together for nearly a year. Frank has now sued for divorce. Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

Annie K. Stumpf charges her husband with adultery. In fact, she charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery. She charges him with adultery.

SUN UP-TOWN OFFICE,

1,265 BROADWAY, NEAR 320 ST.

COPY RECEIVED UNTIL 11 P. M.

RATES AT THE FOLLOWING OFFICES

AMERICAN DISTRICT MESSENGER

SUN UP-TOWN OFFICE,

1,265 BROADWAY, NEAR 320 ST.

RATES AT THE FOLLOWING OFFICES

AMERICAN DISTRICT MESSENGER

SUN UP-TOWN OFFICE,

1,265 BROADWAY, NEAR 320 ST.

RATES AT THE FOLLOWING OFFICES